Abstract. Background/Aim: Several prognostic risk factors have been recognized when using cisplatin-based conventional chemotherapy for the treatment of advanced urothelial carcinoma (UC); these include performance status (PS), liver metastasis, hemoglobin (Hb) levels, time from prior chemotherapy (TFPC), and other systemic inflammation scores including neutrophil-to-lymophocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet-to-lymophocyte ratio (PLR). However, the benefit of these indicators for predicting outcome of immune checkpoint inhibitors is not fully understood. Here, we investigated the predictive value of the indicators in patients who received pembrolizumab for the treatment of advanced UC. Patients and Methods: Seventy-five patients who received pembrolizumab treatment for advanced UC were included. The Karnofsky PS, liver metastasis, hemoglobin levels, TFPC, NLR, and PLR were analyzed, and their relationship with overall survival (OS) was determined. Results: All factors were highlighted as significant prognostic indicators for OS in the univariate proportional regression analysis (p<0.05 for each). Multivariate analysis revealed that Karnofsky PS and liver metastasis were independent prognostic indicators for OS (p<0.01) but were applicable only for a small number of patients. Notably, the combined analysis with low Hb levels and high PLR was significantly associated with OS in patients who could gain less benefit from pembrolizumab at a median of 6.6 [95% confidence interval (CI)=4.2-9.0] versus 15.1 (95% CI=12.4-17.8) months (p=0.002). Conclusion: The combination of Hb levels and PLR may be a broadly applicable indicator for the outcome of pembrolizumab as second-line chemotherapy in patients with advanced UC.

The urothelial carcinoma (UC) includes bladder cancer and upper tract urothelial carcinoma. Bladder cancer is the 10th most common cancer in the world, as of 2018 (1). Approximately 25% of patients were presented with muscle invasive bladder cancer at the time of diagnosis. Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) targeting programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) or programmed cell death 1 ligand 1 (PD-L1) have demonstrated durable responses in patients with cisplatin-refractory metastasized bladder cancer (2). However, only the modest objective response rate (ORR) to pembrolizumab (21.1% in KEYNOTE-045) was achieved in patients with advanced bladder cancer (3). However, other than ICIs, potential drugs for platinum-refractory UCs in second-line chemotherapy are currently quite limited (4). Therefore, discrimination of patients who may benefit from pembrolizumab highlights the need for identifying a useful prognostic factor.

Regarding a second-line conventional chemotherapy for advanced UCs, it has been well illustrated that the presence of risk factors according to performance status (PS), hemoglobin (Hb) levels, liver metastasis, and time from prior chemotherapy (TFPC) could predict prognosis (5, 6). However, the possible impact of these risk factors on the efficacy of ICIs in advanced
UCs remains unclear. In line with this, the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and the platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), related to the systemic inflammation score, have been recently reported as potential predictors of pembrolizumab in patients with advanced UC (7, 8). Mechanistically, it has been recognized that neutrophils inhibit cytotoxic activity of lymphocytes and play a protumorigenic role in the tumor microenvironment (9, 10). Platelets have also been shown to have protumorigenic involvement, protecting tumor cells against the immune system and facilitating metastasis, and promoting tumor growth with angiogenesis (11). In studies on UC, thrombocytosis has been shown to predict tumor invasiveness (12). Furthermore, tumor PD-L1 positivity of UC has been shown to be significantly associated with shorter overall survival (OS) in patients exhibiting increased platelet counts (13).

In this study, we aimed to improve the prognostic accuracy of risk factors in patients with advanced UC and assessed various candidate factors for selecting patients who may gain survival benefits from treatment with pembrolizumab.

Patients and Methods

Patients and measurements. We retrospectively enrolled 75 patients with previously treated UC who received pembrolizumab treatment (200 mg pembrolizumab intravenously every 3 weeks) at Saitama Cancer Center from January 2018 to July 2022. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Saitama Cancer Center (protocol number 1132 and 1254). All patients received cisplatin-based conventional chemotherapy, either as neoadjuvant or adjuvant therapy, before the introduction of pembrolizumab. The median follow-up time was 7.3 months (range=0.47-47.6 months). The levels of Hb, neutrophil counts, lymphocyte counts, and platelet counts were measured from the data of routine blood tests, prior to initiation of pembrolizumab therapy. The ratio of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte counts (NLR) and platelet-to-lymphocyte counts (PLR) were calculated from individual patients. The TFPC was determined from the last date of chemotherapy to the date of the first dose of pembrolizumab. OS was defined as the number of days from the first administration of prior chemotherapy (referred as TFPC), respectively (Table I).

Next, univariate analyses were performed to investigate whether the known adverse prognostic factors of treatment with conventional second-line chemotherapy in advanced UCs could be applicable to the patients treated with pembrolizumab as a significant factor. As shown in Table II, scores of Karnofsky PS <80, presence of liver metastasis,
and pretreatment levels of Hb <10 g/dl were prognostic factors for decreased survival ($p<0.01$ for all). TFPC <3 months and TFPC ≥6 months were also significant as poor prognostic factors ($p<0.05$). In contrast, visceral metastasis had no statistical significance in OS ($p=0.616$). As the predictive ability of Karnofsky PS and liver metastasis was applicable to a small population (5.3% and 8.0%, respectively) of the enrolled patients (Table I), they were likely to have only a modest benefit for selecting a therapy other than pembrolizumab. For this reason, the visceral metastasis patients that accounted for 38.7% of all patients were used for further multivariate analysis. As shown in Table II, a Hb <10 g/dl was highlighted as a significant prognostic factor for worse OS in the multivariate analysis ($HR=1.88, 95\% CI=1.02-3.46, p=0.043$).

**Table II. Parameter evaluation affecting overall survival (OS) of patients treated with pembrolizumab (n=75).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>$p$-Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnofsky PS</td>
<td>&lt;80 vs. ≥80</td>
<td>4.32 (1.50-12.5)</td>
<td>0.007*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver metastasis</td>
<td>Yes vs. No</td>
<td>7.40 (2.95-18.6)</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceral metastasis</td>
<td>Yes vs. No</td>
<td>7.40 (2.95-18.6)</td>
<td>0.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb level (g/dl)</td>
<td>&lt;10 g/dl vs. ≥10 g/dl</td>
<td>2.24 (1.24-4.02)</td>
<td>0.007*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFPC</td>
<td>&lt;3 months vs. ≥3</td>
<td>1.95 (1.02-3.73)</td>
<td>0.043*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;6 months vs. ≥6</td>
<td>2.43 (1.08-5.46)</td>
<td>0.031*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PS: Performance status; HB: hemoglobin; TFPC: time from prior chemotherapy; CI: confidence interval. *Statistically significant.

Predictive ability of the combination of Hb levels and PLR in OS of patients treated with pembrolizumab. To improve the predictive ability in patients who may benefit from pembrolizumab, we assessed a possible combination of predictive factors with the Hb levels. For this purpose, we first investigated the potential predictive ability of NLR and PLR. The total population was divided into two groups, based on the median values of NLR and PLR (3.43 and 185.7, respectively, Table I). Notably, a PLR ≥185.7 was a significant prognostic factor for worse OS in the univariate analysis ($HR=2.25, 95\% CI=1.22-4.15, p=0.009$), while NLR ≥3.43 was not ($HR=1.21, 95\% CI=0.68-2.16, p=0.052$). These results were consistent with our previous findings in 54 patients, with an overlapping population of the present study (7). Interestingly, univariate analysis using continuous variables of NLR improved the statistical significance for the prognostic value in OS ($HR=1.00, 95\% CI=1.00-1.01, p=0.021$), but to a lesser extent than that of PLR ($HR=1.10, 95\% CI=1.03-4.19, p=0.008$). Because of the statistical superiority of PLR, we next attempted to evaluate whether the Hb levels in combination with PLR could improve the prognostic accuracy for OS in patients who received pembrolizumab. The Kaplan-Meier curves showed significantly lower survival of patients with Hb <10 g/dl than of those with Hb ≥10 g/dl at a median of 6.6 (95% CI=3.0-10.1) versus 16.7 (95% CI=13.9-19.4) months ($p=0.006$, Figure 1a). Moreover, a PLR ≥185.7 had a significant impact for worse OS at a median of 7.1 (95% CI=4.9-9.2) versus 16.8 (95% CI=13.2-20.5) months ($p=0.007$, Figure 1b). Notably, combination of Hb <10 g/dl and PLR ≥185.7 (referred to as two factors) improved the ability to discriminate patients who could gain less benefit from pembrolizumab than 0 or 1 factors at a median of 6.6 (95% CI=4.2-9.0) versus 15.1 (95% CI=12.4-17.8) months ($p=0.002$, Figure 1c). Furthermore, ROC curve analysis revealed that the optimal cut-off value of PLR based on the ORR, as partial or complete response and no response (progressive disease or stable), was exactly the same as the median value of 185.7 in the total population, confirming the expedient means of PLR as a prognostic marker (Figure 1d).

**Discussion**

In the present study, potential prognostic predictors of pembrolizumab in patients with cisplatin-refractory advanced UC were investigated. The PS, Hb levels, liver metastasis, and TFPC are listed as known adverse refractory advanced UC. The results of multivariate analysis revealed that Karnofsky PS and liver metastasis were independent prognostic factors, indicating that patients who possessed these adverse prognostic factors gained less benefit from a second-line chemotherapy.
including pembrolizumab. Currently, the potential drugs for platinum-refractory UCs in second-line chemotherapy are quite limited, with ICIs representing the only widely accepted treatment strategy (4). In the KEYNOTE-361 trial, first-line pembrolizumab plus platinum-based chemotherapy did not significantly improve progression-free survival and OS in patients with advanced UC as compared to chemotherapy alone (15). Candidate prognostic biomarkers need to be validated, considering the future requirement for improving the efficacy of pembrolizumab in combination with standard chemotherapies as well as multiple targeted drugs. Enfortumab vedotin plus pembrolizumab is expected to be a promising combination for first-line therapy in patients who are ineligible for cisplatin and is currently being tested in an ongoing phase III study (NCT04223856) (16).

Additionally, considerable efforts have led to the successful development of Erdafitinib, a potent tyrosine kinase inhibitor of the gene encoding fibroblast growth factor receptor for treating patients with advanced UC (17).

Among the above-mentioned adverse prognostic factors in a second-line conventional chemotherapy, we highlighted that pretreatment Hb levels may be broadly applicable to identify the patients who will benefit from pembrolizumab. Further improvements in the predictive impact were obtained from a combination of Hb levels and PLR. A median of PLR in the total population was applied as a cut-off value based on the ORR, because the optimal value for PLR in relation to OS remains to be investigated. Notably, ROC curve analysis confirmed that the median value of PLR was the same as the optimal cut-off value in the total

Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier analysis of overall survival (OS) in patient subgroups stratified by (a) Hb level, (b) platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) and (c) number of factors. (d) Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis of PLR in 75 patients based on objective response rate (ORR) to pembrolizumab.
population, suggesting the usefulness of the PLR in selecting patients who may benefit from pembrolizumab as a second-line therapy. In contrast, a median NLR of 3.43 did not show predictive significance for OS, although continuous variables of NLR were significant. Considering that the median NLR in this study was quite comparable with an optimal cut-off value (2.9, 3 or 3.5) described in several studies on patients who received ICIs for advanced UC (18-21), an optimal NLR cut-off value may be defined in a broad-range depending on the population. Consistently, other groups have shown that an NLR ≥5.0 is an independent predictor of no clinical benefit based on objective response and worse survival to ICIs in metastatic UC (22, 23). Furthermore, 1-month change of NLR ≥+43% at post-treatment with pembrolizumab is highlighted as a significant predictor for poor OS (21); therefore, the sequential measurement of these indicators is also valuable for future investigation.

Conclusion

Collectively, although a potential reason for the discrepancy in our findings between the PLR and NLR remains to be elucidated, Hb levels in combination with PLR are likely to be valuable for predicting patients who will gain less benefit from pembrolizumab as a second-line therapy. However, the OS of the total study population was 8.5 months, which was shorter than that reported in the KEYNOTE-045 trial (10.3 months) due to the shorter follow-up time, while the ORRs were comparable (21.3% and 21.1%, respectively). Therefore, an extended follow-up duration might be required for evaluating the optimal cut-off values of PLR and NLR. Further consecutive studies will improve the clinical benefit in the combination of Hb and PLR as a useful biomarker for selecting patients who may gain a survival benefit from pembrolizumab-based treatments.
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